Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/752,608	LUI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Min Jung	2663	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Min Jung.	(3)		
(2) Reginald Ratliff.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2005</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>27, 28, 31, and 32</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was agreed to cancel claims 27 and 28 which depend from the cancelled claim 24, and to change the word "apparatus" in claims 31 and 32 to "target device" to make the claim language consistent with the base claim.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	